Determination of Formation Constant of Sm (III)-Systems with Different Sulphonanilide Ligands

Naresh_kumar¹, Dr H. K. Pandey², Dr Gourav Chawla³

¹ Research Scholar, Singhania University, Pacheri Beri, Jhunjhunu (Raj.) ^{2, 3} Rare Earth Research Laboratory, P. G. Department of Chemistry, Govt. Dungar College, Bikaner (Raj.)

ABSTRACT: Formation constant of Sm (III)-Systems with different sulphonanilide ligands have been measured with the help of spectrophotometeric method. Results indicate that isolation of these complexes in solid state is difficult.

I. INTRODUCTION

The term stability cannot be generalized for complexes, since a complex may be quite stable to one reagent and may decompose readily in presence of another reagent. $^{(1-2)}$

The complexes of d-block transition metals, alkali metal and alkaline earth metals with various ligands have been studied extensively⁽³⁻¹⁶⁾, where as in case of f-block lanthanide metal complexes, a limited study has so far been carried out, because of their poor tendency to form complexes. ⁽¹⁷⁻¹⁹⁾ Formation constant (K) shows the stability of complex ⁽²⁰⁻²⁴⁾, although lanthanide complexes are

Formation constant (K) shows the stability of complex ⁽²⁰⁻²⁴⁾, although lanthanide complexes are thermodynamically and kinetically less stable as compared to transition metal complexes and actinide complexes.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

Jobs method is a variation of spectrophotometric method⁽²⁵⁻²⁷⁾, which is based on the fact that most of the complexes absorb light differently than the metal ions from which they are formed. The relationship between the absorbance or optical density at particular wavelength and concentration is expressed by Beer's law. ⁽²⁸⁻²⁹⁾

In this method, standard grade chemicals-SmCl₃.6H₂O (molecular weight-364.81) has been used for studies. Three sulphonanilide ligands⁽²⁹⁾ have been used for preparation of systems with Sm(III) and the solution spectra of these systems have been recorded by using a standard spectrophotometer. Three representative ligands (L_7 , L_{10} & L_{13}) have been used for this study.



Results have been given in table 1.01 to table 1.03.

III. TABLES

TABLE-1.01 Observed Values of Absorbance At Various Concentrations of Sm(Iii) With Sulphonanilides

Metal Sm(III) ion	Values of	absorbance	in mixed		
concentration (in %)	solutions	with	different		
with sulphonanilide	sulphonanilides				
	With L ₇	With L ₁₀	With L ₁₃		
10	0.089	0.065	0.052		
20	0.092	0.066	0.058		
30	0.093	0.074	0.064		
40	0.096	0.081	0.068		
50	0.112	0.123	0.099		

60	0.098	0.105	0.096
70	0.091	0.097	0.092
80	0.072	0.095	0.090
90	0.062	0.094	0.081

TABLE-1.02	Values Of Absorbance In Different Mixed Solutions
------------	---

Concentration	of	Concentration of	of	Absorbance in mixed solutions of Sm(III)			
Sm(III)		sulphonanilide		with sulphonanilide			
				L ₇	L ₁₀	L ₁₃	
M/40		M/40		0.248	0.245	0.198	
M/50		M/40		0.198	0.213	0.175	
M/60		M/40		0.142	0.197	0.103	
M/70		M/40		0.112	0.143	0.093	
M/80		M/40		0.108	0.103	0.085	
M/90		M/40		0.248	0.245	0.198	

TABLE-1.03 Computed Values of Formation Constant from Observed Data

Ligand	Initial	Initial	Equilibrium	Equilibrium	Equilibrium		
No	conc. of Sm(III) in	conc. of ligand in	conc.ofcomplexin	conc. of Sm(III) in	conc. of ligand in	x K =	log K
	mole/lit	mole/lit	moles/lit	moles/lit	moles/lit	(a-x)(a-	
	а	a	X	a-x	a-x	x)	
7	M/50	M/50	M/70	M/50 -M/70	M/50 -M/70	437.5	2.640
10	M/50	M/50	M/75	M/50 -M/75	M/50 -M/75	300	2.477
13	M/50	M/50	M/64	M/50 -M/64	M/50 -M/64	816.32	2.911

The values of formation constant have been found to be from 2.4771 to 2.9118 (table 1.03).

IV. RESULT & CONCLUSION Order of Formation constant (K)

The computed values of the formation constant from the spectroscopic data have been tabulated in table -1.01 & 1.03 and show the following order-

Sm (III) - L_{13} > Sm (III) - L_7 > Sm (III) - L_{10}

The formation constant data show that the stability of lanthanide complexes is similar to following type of complexes, which have been reported by earlier workers ⁽³⁰⁻³¹⁾ at room temperature.

 $[Ag(NH_3)_2]^+$ type of complex (logK = 3.24 - 3.81)

1:1 Pr(III)-oxy diacetic acid (logK=2.53)

1:1 Nd(III)-oxy diacetic acid(logK=2.67)

1:1 Pr(III)-sulphonanilide ligand (logK=2.9118-3.3551)

Low stability constant makes the isolation of these complexes in solid state difficult, so doped model technique has been taken as system in the electronic-spectral study.

V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to principal Dungar College, Bikaner for allowing to work in the college and are also thankful to H.O.D. Chemistry for providing necessary facilities for the completion of the research work.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1]. J D Lee, Concise Inorganic Chemistry, Chapman & Hall; XIVth edition (1992).
- [2]. [3]. J E Hucheey, Inorganic Chemistry, Harper International S I Edition; IIIrd edition (1983).
- N. Turkel, Asian J. of Chem.; 18(3), 1978(2006).
- N. M. Sontakke, Oriental J.of Chem., 25(4), 1153-1154(2009). [4].
- [5]. S. D. Thakur, K.P.Munnot, P.B.Raghuwanshi and D.T.Tayade, Acta Ciencia Indica, 35(3),425-430(2009).
- [6]. Gajendra Gaur, B.P.Singh and M.S.Kaurav, Asian J. of Chem.;21(2),1569-1574(2009).
- R S Botteri, C P McEachern, J Inorg. Nucl. Chem.; 32, 2653 (1970). [7].
- [8]. D Prakash, R N Singh, R L Verma and O P Gupta, Bull of Pure and Applied Sciences; Vol.11C (1), 5 (1992).
- F Basalo, R G Pearson, Mechanism of Inorganic reactions, A study of metal complexes in solution; 3rd Wiley Eastern reprint, [9]. (1984)
- [10]. A K Banerjee, D Prakash, P Kejariwal and S K Roy, J Indian Chem. Soc. 50, 691 (1973).

- [11]. Bi Jian Hong, Cheng Xiao Li, Huang Zi Xiang and Hu Nai Liang, Asian J of Chemistry;18(3), 2371 (2006).
- [12]. B H Mehta, J Joseph, Asian J of Chemistry; 17(4), 2063 (2005).
- [13]. S D Prakash, A K Gupta, B Kumar and P Pankaj, Acta Ciencia Indica; 32(1), 19 (2006).
- [14]. D Prakash, N K Prasad, K KamaL and S Krishna, Oriental J of Chemistry; 18(3), 529 (2002).
- [15]. G Kumar, M N Srivastava, Asian J of Chemistry; 18(3), 2237 (2006).
- [16]. P Y Khadikar, S W Kakkar and D K Burge, Ind. J Chem; 13, 844 (1975).
 [17]. T F Gritmon, M P Goedken and G R Choppin, Journal of Inorganic and Nuclear Chemistry; 39(11), 2021 (1977).
- [17]. J C Barnes and P A Bristow, Journal of the Less Common Metals; 18 (4), 381 (1969).
- [19]. M A Gouveia and R Guedes De Carvalho, Journal of Inorganic and Nuclear Chemistry; 28 (8), 1683 (1966).
- [20]. R Chiarizia, P R Danesi, G Scibona and L Magon, Journal of Inorganic and Nuclear Chemistry; 35(10), 3595 (1973).
- [21]. A K Bandophdhyay, A K Chaudhary, J Ind.Chem.; 26 A, 853 (1987).
- [22]. S S Shetyl, M S Mayadeo, Samyak J Chem.; 2, 30 (1998)
- [23]. Gadpayle M R, Meshram Y K, Acta ciencia Indica, 32(3), 175,(2006)
- [24]. G.V.Mane, S.B.Joshi and L.P.Shinde, Asian J. of Chem., 21(9), 6747-6751 (2009).
- [25]. Robert V Fox, R Duane Ball, Peter De B Harrington, Harry W Rollins, John J Jolley and Chien M Wai, The Journal of Supercritical Fluids; 31(3), 273 (2004).
- [26]. Harry G Brittain, S Mantha and Michael F Tweedle, Journal of the Less Common Metals; 126, 339 (1986).
- [27]. Erno Brucher and Gabor Laurenczy, Journal of Inorganic and Nuclear Chemistry; 43(9), 2089 (1981).
- [28]. Herbert B Silber, Ferenc Gaizer, Thang Pham and Max Strozier, Journal of the Less Common Metals; 126, 315 (1986).
- [29]. Cengiz Soykan, Ramazan Coskun and Sevda Kirbag, European Polymer Journal; 43(9), 4028 (2007).
- [30]. Paula Gameiro, Catarina Rodrigues, Teresa Baptista, Isabel Sousa and Baltazar De Castro, International Journal of Pharmaceutics; 334(1-2), 129 (2007).
- [31]. Rajesh Nagar, P C Driwedi and R C Sharma, Indian J Chem; 28A, 722 (1989).